

# **Dutch Validation Addendum**

to Everything DiSC® Research Report for *Everything DiSC Workplace*® Assessment

WILEY





## **Dutch Validation:** Everything DiSC Workplace®

The purpose of this **addendum** is to expand the *Everything DiSC® Research Report for Adaptive Testing Assessment* by Wiley (2012), with validation results from research conducted on the Dutch *Everything DiSC Workplace®* assessment. Included in this supplement are descriptions of the translation and validation of the Dutch *Everything DiSC Workplace* Adaptive Testing (AT) items and Continua Scale items. For information about the background and research on Everything DiSC and the circumplex representation of the DiSC® model, including information about the validation process, please consult the *Everything DiSC Research Report for Adaptive Testing Assessment* (hereafter referred to as the AT Research Report).

### **Description of the Dutch Validation**

#### Sample

A total of 675 Dutch speaking men (57%) and women (43%) responded to a total of 240 items. All participants were working adults. The demographics of the sample are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Everything DiSC® Assessment Development Dutch Sample Demographics

Gender	Man	56.7%
	Vrouw	43.4%
Age	18–25	5.3%
	26–30	11.0%
	31–35	12.9%
	36–40	15.7%
	41–45	15.7%
	46–50	17.3%
	51–55	14.7%
	56–60	4.6%
	61+	2.8%



Education	School tot op 16-jarige leeftijd	2.8%
	Beroepsonderwijs/Technische training	24.9%
	Opleiding vóór Hogeschool/Universiteit of deelname in de	15.1%
	arbeidsmarkt	
	Universiteit/Hogeschool of postgraduaat	57.2%
Region of Residence	Nederland	95.0%
	België	3.3%
	Overig	1.7%
Region Describing Ancestor's Origin	Europa	93.8%
	Overig	6.2%
Employment	Werknemer (niet leidinggevend)	39.9%
	Manager in middenkader	26.8%
	Zelfstandig ondernemer	16.4%
	Manager in het topkader	4.3%
	Overig	12.6%



Industry	Overheidsdiensten	17.6%
	Diensten aan bedrijven	12.3%
	Gezondheidsdienstverlening	11.4%
	Groothandel/Detailhandel	10.8%
	Onderwijsdienstverlening	6.8%
	IT	6.8%
	Fabricage	5.5%
	Other	28.8%

N=675

#### **Reliability Measure: Internal Consistency for Workplace Items**

The AT Research Report includes an overview of the validation of the Everything DiSC® assessment, stressing the importance of testing internal consistency. Analysis of internal consistency was performed on the Dutch items and is documented below. This analysis evaluates the degree of correlation among items that profess to measure the same thing. That is, each of the eight scales in the DiSC® model is measured using a series of different items (i.e., questions in the form of statements, such as *I am direct*, *I tend to be calm*, *I want things to be exact*, *I am lively*). Researchers recognize that if all of the items on a given scale (e.g., the D scale) are in fact measuring the same thing (e.g. Dominance), they should all correlate with each other to some degree. In other words, all of the items on a scale should be consistent with each other. A statistic called Cronbach's alpha is usually regarded as the best method for evaluating internal consistency.

This analysis was performed on the Dutch data to ensure that the construct developed and tested on a US population could be adapted to a Dutch population using the translated items and the Dutch test group.

Cronbach's alpha expresses the degree of consistency as a specific number, which typically varies between 0 and 1. If the value of alpha is 0 then there is no relationship among the items/statements that have been grouped as a scale. On the other hand, if all the statements in an assessment measure in an identical fashion, then the value of alpha will be 1.0, which indicates absolute internal consistency. Cronbach's alpha is calculated separately for each of the assessment's eight DiSC scales.

The following guidelines are frequently used to evaluate the quality of a scale's internal reliability: alpha values above .70 are generally considered acceptable and satisfactory, alpha values above .80 are usually considered quite good, and values above .90 are considered to reflect exceptional internal consistency. In fact, alpha values that are too high may indicate that the items on a scale are redundant or too similar, suggesting that the respondent is asked to respond to the same thing many times repeatedly, thus not



Dutch Validation Addendum Everything DiSC Workplace® providing any new information about the respondent.

In the Everything DiSC assessment, all respondents are required to respond to the items on the eight *base scales*. A computer algorithm then looks at the variance of a person's responses to the items on each of those scales. If the variance is above a predetermined cutoff, the person is issued five additional items. This person is said to have received the *extended scale*. As shown in Table 2, the correlations between the base and extended scales range from .96 to .98, suggesting that there is a high degree of equivalence between them.

Alpha coefficients were calculated for the Dutch sample (N=675). The base scales on the Everything DiSC instruments demonstrate good-to-excellent internal consistency, as shown by the alpha values listed in Table 2. All reliabilities are well above. 70, with a median of .83. The median reliability for the extended scales was .87.

Table 2. Internal consistency of the Everything DiSC® Scales in Dutch

Scale	Base Scale		Extend	ed Scale	Correlation between	
	#items	Alpha	#items	Alpha	base and extended scales	
DI	8	.85	12	.89	.98	
I	9	.89	14	.92	.98	
IS	6	.71	10	.79	.98	
S	7	.77	13	.83	.98	
SC	6	.82	10	.85	.98	
С	12	.84	18	.88	.97	
CD	12	.77	18	.81	.96	
D	8	.87	12	.89	.98	

N=675

#### **Construct Validity: Scale Intercorrelations for DiSC® Scales**

As part of examining the construct validity of the DiSC® scales, the Dutch item scores from each respondent on the eight DiSC scales were examined. The DiSC model proposes that adjacent scales (e.g., Di and i) will have moderate correlations. That is, these correlations should be considerably smaller than the alpha reliabilities of the individual scales. For example, the correlation between the SC and S scale (.52) should be substantially lower than the alpha reliability of the SC (.82) or S (.77). Moreover, scales that are theoretically opposite (e.g., i and C) should have strong negative correlations. Table 3 shows data obtained from a sample of 675 respondents who completed the Everything DiSC assessment in Dutch. The correlations among all eight scales show strong support for the model. That is, we find moderate positive correlations among adjacent scales and strong negative correlations between opposite scales.



Table 3. Scale Intercorrelations

	Di	i	iS	S	SC	С	CD	D
Di	.85							
1	.49	.89						
iS	.17	.50	.71					
S	51	22	.25	.77				
sc	74	54	22	.52	.82			
С	63	75	53	.16	.53	.84		
CD	14	31	56	42	03	.18	.77	
D	.57	.27	16	66	69	38	.24	.87

Cronbach's alpha reliabilities are shown in bold along the diagonal, and the correlation coefficients among scales are shown within the body of the table. Correlation coefficients range from -1 to +1. A correlation of + 1 indicates that two variables are perfectly positively correlated such that as one variable increases, the other variable increases by a proportional amount. A correlation of -1 indicates that two variables are perfectly negatively correlated, such that as one variable increases, the other variable decreases by a proportional amount. A correlation of 0 indicates that the two variables are completely unrelated. N=675, as shown in Table 1.



#### **Reliability Measure: Internal Consistency for Priority Scales in Dutch**

Alpha internal reliability coefficients were calculated for each of the eight *Everything DiSC Workplace®* priorities, as shown in Table 4, using a sample of 675 Dutch speaking participants. These coefficients range from .75 to .91, with a median reliability of .80. Therefore, these scales demonstrate acceptable to good internal consistency. This finding suggests that each of these priority scales is measuring a single, unified construct.

Table 4. Alpha Coefficients of the Priority Scales in Dutch

Priority Scale	Number of items	Alpha
Results	7	.82
Action	6	.79
Enthusiasm	6	.80
Collaboration	8	.75
Support	13	.76
Stability	11	.81
Accuracy	4	.91
Challenge	14	.79

N=675

#### Reliability Measure: Internal Consistency for Continua Scales in Dutch

The *Everything DiSC® Comparison Report* allows any two Everything DiSC participants to see their similarities and differences in six out of nine areas. These scales were also constructed on the Dutch items and below are the research findings. As this is a supplement, please consult section 7 (Comparison Report Research) in the AT Research Report for information about the background of the scales and selection of the six out of eleven possible continua.

Alpha internal reliability coefficients were calculated for each of the eleven continua, as shown in Table 5, using a sample of 675 Dutch speaking participants. These coefficients range from .71 to .85, with a median reliability of .77. Therefore, these scales demonstrate acceptable to good internal consistency. This finding suggests that each of these continua scales is measuring a single, unified construct.



Table 5. Alpha Coefficients of the Continua Scales in Dutch

Continua Scale	Number of items	Alpha
Patient - Driven	11	.77
Soft-spoken - Forceful	12	.85
Outgoing - Private	8	.74
Calm - Energetic	9	.75
Skeptical - Accepting	11	.74
Daring - Careful	7	.80
Tactful - Frank	6	.72
Accommodating - Strong-willed	8	.71
Lively – Reserved	11	.83
Non-imaginative - Imaginative	5	.82
Non-structured - Structured	5	.85

N=675

#### **Summary**

Analysis of data collected on the Dutch version of the Everything DiSC® Adaptive Testing Assessment using Dutch participants indicate that the development of the assessment was successful. The findings show support for the **eight DiSC® Scales**, which are used as the basis of the *Everything DiSC Workplace®* profile, and the **eleven Continua Scales** used in the *Everything DiSC Comparison Report*.

- With high Cronbach's alphas (.71-.89) for the eight base DiSC scales, the reliability of the instrument is very satisfactory. The assessment is adaptive and these reliability measures are results from analysis on the scale that only includes the base items. Moreover, the results include all responses, even responses from individuals who will receive the extra items to increase the precision of their score on a specific DiSC scale. Hence, this is a conservative measure because, dependent upon the scale, some of the respondents will be taking the extended scales (i.e., asked to respond to extra items).
- The construct validity of the eight DiSC scales, indicated by scale intercorrelations, supports the
  circumplex structure of the DiSC model. Using Dutch data, previous findings of the English
  Everything DiSC assessment were confirmed, with adjacent scales showing moderate correlations
  and opposite scales showing strong negative correlations, as predicted by the model.
- The **reliability** of the instrument on the eight *Everything DiSC Workplace* priority scales, indicated by internal consistency, shows acceptable to good degrees of consistency with Cronbach's alphas ranging from .75 to .91.
- The **reliability** of the instrument on the eleven continua scales in the *Comparison Report*, indicated by internal consistency, shows acceptable to good degrees of consistency with Cronbach's alphas ranging from .71 to .85.





• Further analysis on the circumplex structure including correlations between the Everything DiSC® scales and the scales of the NEO PI-R and 16PF® are thoroughly documented in the ATResearch Report.